

# Lecture 9: Random Walks and Markov Chain (Chapter 4 of Textbook B)

Jinwoo Shin

AI503: Mathematics for AI



- (1) Introduction
- (2) Stationary Distribution
- (3) Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
- (4) Convergence of Random Walks on Undirected Graphs
- (5) Random Walks on Undirected Graphs with Unit Edge Weights



### (1) Introduction

- (2) Stationary Distribution
- (3) Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
- (4) Convergence of Random Walks on Undirected Graphs
- (5) Random Walks on Undirected Graphs with Unit Edge Weights

## Introduction - Random walk



- A random walk is defined under a (directed) graph G = (V, E) where V, E are sets of vertices and edges, respectively.
- Start with a probability vector **p(0)** ∈ [0, 1]<sup>V</sup>, where p<sub>x</sub>(0) is the probability of starting at vertex x ∈ G.
- The probability vector at time t + 1 is defined by the probability vector at time t, namely p(t+1) = p(t)P.
  - $P_{ij}$  is the probability of the walk at vertex *i* selecting the edge to vertex *j*.
- The long-term average probability of being at a particular vertex is independent of the choice of **p(0)** if G is strongly connected.
  - The limiting probabilities are called *stationary probabilities*.
- This property allows to design an efficient sampling algorithm from a desired probability distribution, called "Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)".



Graph	Stochastic process
vertex	state
strongly connected	persistent
aperiodic	aperiodic
strongly connected and aperiodic	ergodic
undirected graph	time reversible

Table 1: Correspondence between terminology of random walks and Markov chains

- A Markov chain has a finite set of *states*.
- For each pair of states x and y, there is a transition probability p<sub>xy</sub> of going from x to y where ∑<sub>y</sub> p<sub>xy</sub> = 1 for each x.
- The terms "random walk" and "Markov chain" are used interchangeably.



- (1) Introduction
- (2) Stationary Distribution
- (3) Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
- (4) Convergence of Random Walks on Undirected Graphs
- (5) Random Walks on Undirected Graphs with Unit Edge Weights



## Definition 1. Long-term average probability distribution

Let p(t) be the probability distribution after t steps of random walks. Then, *long-term* average probability distribution is defined by:

$$\mathbf{a(t)} = \frac{1}{t}(\mathbf{p(0)} + \mathbf{p(1)} + \dots + \mathbf{p(t-1)}).$$

### Theorem 1. Fundamental Theorem of Markov Chains

For a connected Markov chain, there is a unique probability vector  $\pi P = \pi$ . Moreover, for any starting distribution,  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{t})$  exists and equals to  $\pi$ .

### Proof. See Page 80-81 of Textbook B.

• Theorem 1 will be used to prove the convergence of Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm.



- (1) Introduction
- (2) Stationary Distribution
- (3) Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
- (4) Convergence of Random Walks on Undirected Graphs
- (5) Random Walks on Undirected Graphs with Unit Edge Weights



- Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  and a probability distribution  $p(\mathbf{x})$  where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_d)$ .
- We want to calculate

$$E(f) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x})$$

- The sample space grows exponentially on *d*.
- Therefore, explicit summation requires exponential time on d, which is not desirable.

## Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)



- Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  and a probability distribution  $p(\mathbf{x})$  where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_d)$ .
- We want to calculate

$$E(f) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x})$$

- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method approximates the summation by a summation of a set of samples, where each sample x is selected with probability p(x).
  - Metropolis-Hastings algorithm
  - Gibbs sampling
- We construct a Markov chain that has the desired distribution as its stationary distribution.
- By Theorem 1, the average of the function f over states seen in a sufficiently long run is a good estimate of E(f).

## Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)



• Recall the definition of long-term average probability distribution.

$$\mathsf{a(t)} = \frac{1}{t}[\mathsf{p(0)} + \mathsf{p(1)} + ... + \mathsf{p(t-1)}]$$

- Let  $\gamma$  be the average value of f at the states seen in a t step walk, which is an estimate of  $E(f) = \sum_{i} f_{i} p_{i}$ .
- The expected value of  $\gamma$  is calculated by:

$$E(\gamma) = \sum_{i} f_i(\frac{1}{t} \sum_{j=1}^{t} \operatorname{Prob}(\text{walk is in state } i \text{ at time } j)) = \sum_{i} f_i a_i(t).$$

• Letting  $f_{max} := max_x |f(x)|$ , we have:

$$|E(f) - E(\gamma)| \leq f_{max} \sum_{i} |p_i - a_i(t)| = f_{max} ||\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{a(t)}||_1$$

 If **p** is the stationary distribution, E(γ) converges to E(f) by the rate of convergence of the Markov chain to its steady state.

# Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) - Metropolis-Hasting Algorithm

### Algorithm 1. Metropolis-Hasting Algorithm

Let r be the maximum degree of any vertex in a connected undirected graph G. We define the transition matrix as follows:

$$p_{ij} = rac{1}{r} \min(1, rac{p_j}{p_i}) \quad ext{if} \quad i 
eq j,$$

$$p_{ii} = 1 - \sum_{j 
eq i} p_{ij}.$$

- At state *i*, we select neighbor *j* with probability  $\frac{1}{r}$ .
- For the selected j, if  $p_i \le p_j$ , go to j. If  $p_i > p_j$ , go to j with probability  $p_j/p_i$ .
- Otherwise, stay at *i*.

# Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) - Metropolis-Hasting Algorithm

• The Metropolis-Hasting Algorithm is a method to design a Markov chain whose stationary distribution is a given target distribution **p**.

### Lemma 1.

For a random walk on a strongly connected graph G with probabilities on the edges, if the vector  $\pi$  satisfies  $\pi_x p_{xy} = \pi_y p_{yx}$  for all  $x, y \in G$  and  $\sum_x \pi_x = 1$ , then  $\pi$  is the stationary distribution of the walk.

Proof. See Page 81 of Textbook B.

### Theorem 2. Convergence of Metropolis-Hasting Algorithm

In algorithm 1 (Metropolis-Hasting Algorithm), the stationary probabilities are indeed  $p_i$ 's.

Proof. The proof follows from Lemma 1. As  $p_i p_{ij} = \frac{1}{r} \min(1, \frac{p_j}{p_i}) = \frac{1}{r} \min(p_i, p_j) = \frac{p_j}{r} \min(1, \frac{p_i}{p_j}) = p_j p_{ji}$ , by Lemma 1., the theorem follows.

## Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) - Metropolis-Hasting Algorithm **ST**



Figure 1: A connected undirected graph with vertices  $\{a, b, c, d\}$ 

 Let's follow the Metropolis-Hasting Algorithm to construct the transition matrix P on the graph in Figure 1.

## Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) - Metropolis-Hasting Algorithm 57 A



Figure 2: A connected undirected graph with vertices  $\{a, b, c, d\}$ 

- We want to make the stationary distribution  $\pi = [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}].$
- For the vertex *a*,

## Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) - Metropolis-Hasting Algorithm 57 A



Figure 3: A connected undirected graph with vertices  $\{a, b, c, d\}$ 

- We want to make the stationary distribution  $\pi = [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}].$
- Applying Metropolis-Hasting Algorithm for other vertices, we get:

$$\mathsf{P} = egin{bmatrix} 2/3 & 1/6 & 1/12 & 1/12\ 1/3 & 1/2 & 1/6 & 0\ 1/3 & 1/3 & 0 & 1/3\ 1/3 & 0 & 1/3 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix}$$

• It is easy to check  $\pi P = \pi$ .

### Algorithm 2. Gibbs Sampling

Let G be an undirected graph whose vertices corresponds to the values  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, ..., x_d)$ . Also, assume that there is an edge from  $\mathbf{x}$  to  $\mathbf{y}$  if  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  differ in only one coordinate (W.L.O.G. assume it the first coordinate). We define the transition matrix as follows:

$$p_{xy} = \frac{1}{d} p(y_1 | x_2, x_3, ..., x_d),$$
  
where  $d = \sum_i \sum_{y_i} p(y_i | x_1, x_2, ..., x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, ..., x_d)$ 

- Choose one coordinate (randomly or sequentially).
- The transition probability depends on the conditional probability of chosen coordinate while fixing other coordinates.

• Gibbs sampling is a method to design a Markov chain whose stationary distribution is a given target distribution **p**.

Theorem 3. Convergence of Gibbs Sampling

In algorithm 2 (Gibbs Sampling), the stationary probability is indeed p.

**Proof.** The proof follows again from Lemma 1.

$$p_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} = \frac{1}{d} \frac{p(\mathbf{y})}{p(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_d)}$$
$$p_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{d} \frac{p(\mathbf{x})}{p(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_d)}$$

Therefore,  $p(\mathbf{x})p_{xy} = p(\mathbf{y})p_{yx}$ .





Figure 4: A connected undirected graph with vertices  $\{(i,j) : i, j \in \{1,2,3\}\}$ 

• Let's follow the Gibbs sampling Algorithm to construct the transition matrix P on the graph in Figure 1.

 $\frac{5}{8}$  $\frac{7}{12}$  $\frac{1}{3}$ Target distribution  $p(1,1) = \frac{1}{3}$  $\frac{5}{12}$ 3.23.33.1 $p(1,2) = \frac{1}{4}$  $\frac{1}{6}$  $p(1,3) = \frac{1}{6}$  $\overline{6}$  $\overline{12}$  $p(2,1) = \frac{1}{8}$  $\frac{3}{8}$  $p(2,2) = \frac{1}{6}$  $^{2,1}$ 2,32,2 $p(2,3) = \frac{1}{12}$  $\frac{1}{8}$  $\frac{1}{12}$  $\overline{6}$  $p(3,1) = \frac{1}{6}$  $p(3,2) = \frac{1}{6}$  $\frac{3}{4}$ 1,11,21,3 $p(3,3) = \frac{1}{12}$ 

 $p_{(11)(12)} = \frac{1}{d} p_{12} / (p_{11} + p_{12} + p_{13}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) / \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{6}\right) = \frac{1}{8} / \frac{9}{12} = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{4}{3} = \frac{1}{6}$ Calculation of edge probability  $p_{(11)(12)}$ 

 $p_{(11)(12)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4} \frac{4}{3} = \frac{1}{6} \quad p_{(12)(11)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3} \frac{4}{3} = \frac{2}{9} \quad p_{(13)(11)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3} \frac{4}{3} = \frac{2}{9} \quad p_{(21)(22)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{6} \frac{8}{3} = \frac{2}{9}$  $p_{(11)(13)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{6} \frac{4}{3} = \frac{1}{9}$   $p_{(12)(13)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{6} \frac{4}{3} = \frac{1}{9}$   $p_{(12)(22)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{6} \frac{4}{3} = \frac{1}{9}$   $p_{(13)(12)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4} \frac{4}{3} = \frac{1}{6}$   $p_{(21)(23)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{8} \frac{8}{5} = \frac{1}{10}$   $p_{(12)(22)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{6} \frac{12}{7} = \frac{1}{7}$   $p_{(13)(23)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{12} \frac{3}{1} = \frac{1}{8}$   $p_{(21)(11)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3} \frac{8}{5} = \frac{4}{15}$  $p_{(11)(31)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{6} \frac{8}{5} = \frac{2}{15} \quad p_{(12)(32)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{6} \frac{12}{7} = \frac{1}{7} \quad p_{(13)(33)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{12} \frac{3}{1} = \frac{1}{8} \quad p_{(21)(31)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{6} \frac{8}{5} = \frac{2}{15}$ 

Figure 5: A connected undirected graph with vertices  $\{(i,j) : i, j \in \{1,2,3\}\}$ 





- (1) Introduction
- (2) Stationary Distribution
- (3) Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
- (4) Convergence of Random Walks on Undirected Graphs
- (5) Random Walks on Undirected Graphs with Unit Edge Weights

 Given an edge-weighted undirected graph G, let w<sub>xy</sub> denote the weight of the edge between nodes x, y ∈ G.

• If no such edge exists, let  $w_{xy} = 0$ .

• Let 
$$w_x = \sum_y w_{xy}$$
,  $p_{xy} = w_{xy}/w_x$ , and  $w_{\text{total}} = \sum_{x'} w_{x'}$ .

• Therefore,  $(w_x/w_{\text{total}})p_{xy} = (w_y/w_{\text{total}})p_{yx}$ .

 We are interested in the convergence rate of Metropolis-Hasting algorithm and Gibbs sampling on edge-weighted undirected graph.



Figure 6: A connected graph. All edges have the same weight.

- In Figure 6, the random walk is unlikely to cross the narrow passage between the two halves.
- We will show that the time to converge is quantitatively related to the tightest constriction.

### Definition 1. $\varepsilon$ -mixing time

The  $\varepsilon$ -mixing time of a Markov chain is the minimum integer t such that for any starting distribution  $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{0})$ , the 1-norm difference between the t-step running average probability distribution and the stationary distribution is at most  $\varepsilon$ .

### **Definition 2.** Normalized conductance

For a subset S of vertices, let  $\pi(S)$  denote  $\sum_{x \in S} \pi_x$ . The normalized conductance  $\Phi(S)$  of S is defined as:

$$\Phi(S) = \frac{\sum_{(x,y)\in(S,\bar{S})} \pi_x p_{xy}}{\min(\pi(S), \pi(\bar{S}))}$$

### **Definition 2.** Normalized conductance

For a subset S of vertices, let  $\pi(S)$  denote  $\sum_{x \in S} \pi_x$ . The normalized conductance  $\Phi(S)$  of S is defined as:

$$\Phi(S) = \frac{\sum_{(x,y)\in(S,\bar{S})} \pi_x p_{xy}}{\min(\pi(S), \pi(\bar{S}))}$$

- If  $\pi(S) \leq \pi(\bar{S})$ , we have  $\Phi(S) = \sum_{x \in S} \frac{\pi_x}{\pi(S)} \sum_{y \in \bar{S}} p_{xy}$ .
  - $\sum_{x \in S} \frac{\pi_x}{\pi(S)}$  is the probability of being in x if we were in the stationary distribution restricted to S.
  - $\sum_{y \in \bar{S}} p_{xy}$  is the probability of stepping from x to  $\bar{S}$  in a single step.
- Then, Φ(S) is the probability of moving from S to S
   in one step if we were in the stationary distribution restricted to S.

KAIST A

### Definition 3. Normalized conductance of the Markov chain

We define *the* normalized conductance of the Markov chain as follows:

$$\Phi = \min_{S \in V, S \neq \emptyset} \Phi(S)$$

### Theorem 4. $\varepsilon$ -mixing time of a random walk

The  $\varepsilon$ -mixing time of a random walk on an undirected graph is

$$O(rac{\ln(1/\pi_{\min})}{\Phi^2 arepsilon^3})$$

where  $\pi_{\min}$  is the minimum stationary probability of any state.

Proof. See Page 90-92 of Textbook B.

Theorem 4.  $\varepsilon$ -mixing time of a random walk

The  $\varepsilon$ -mixing time of a random walk on an undirected graph is

$$O(rac{ln(1/\pi_{\min})}{\Phi^2arepsilon^3})$$

where  $\pi_{\min}$  is the minimum stationary probability of any state.

- By theorem 4, one can show the convergence rate of the random walk of
  - 1-dimensional lattice with self-loops at both ends:  $O(\frac{n^2 \log n}{\epsilon^3})$  where *n* denotes the number of vertices.
  - *d*-dimensional lattice with self-loops at each boundary point :  $O(\frac{d^3n^2\log n}{\varepsilon^3})$  where *n* denotes the number of vertices in each dimension.
  - a connected undirected graph :  $O(\frac{n^4 \log n}{\epsilon^3})$  where *n* denotes the number of vertices.
- The convergence rate is polynomially bounded by *n* and *d*.

KAIST A

### Theorem 4. $\varepsilon$ -mixing time of a random walk

The  $\varepsilon$ -mixing time of a random walk on an undirected graph is

$$O(rac{ln(1/\pi_{\min})}{\Phi^2arepsilon^3})$$

where  $\pi_{\min}$  is the minimum stationary probability of any state.

Proof sketch of 1-dimensional lattice with self-loops.
 With self-loops, p<sub>xy</sub> = <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> for all edges (x,y). Also, stationary distribution is <sup>1</sup>/<sub>n</sub> for all vertices.

The set S with  $\frac{n}{2}$  vertices yields the minimum  $\Phi(S)$  with  $\Phi = \frac{1}{n}$ .

Therefore, by Theorem 4, the  $\varepsilon$ -mixing time is  $O(\frac{n^2 \log n}{\varepsilon^3})$ .



- (1) Introduction
- (2) Stationary Distribution
- (3) Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)
- (4) Convergence of Random Walks on Undirected Graphs
- (5) Random Walks on Undirected Graphs with Unit Edge Weights

- For undirected graphs with unit edge weights, some concrete analysis for a random walk can be done.
  - Hitting time (h<sub>xy</sub>): expected time of a random walk starting at vertex x to reach vertex y.
  - Commute time (commute(x, y)): expected time of a random walk starting at x reaching y and then returning to x. *i.e.*,  $commute(x, y) = h_{xy} + h_{yx}$ .
  - Cover time (cover(G)): expected time of any vertex to reach other vertices at least once.
- The proofs of this section is somewhat technical. You can find the proofs in section 4.6 of Textbook B.

# Random Walks on Undirected Graphs with Unit Edge Weights

### Theorem 5.

If vertices x and y are connected by an edge, then  $h_{xy} + h_{yx} \le 2m$  where m is the number of edges in the graph.

### Theorem 6.

For vertices x and y in an n vertex graph, commute(x, y) is less than or equal to  $n^3$ .

### Theorem 7.

Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and m edges. Cover(G) is bounded above by 4m(n-1).



# Questions?