

Homework 5 Solution: Mathematics for AI

For questions, contact TA Seojin Kim (osikjs@kaist.ac.kr), by email.

---

1. The Fundamental Theorem of Markov chains says that for a connected Markov chain, the long-term average distribution  $\mathbf{a}(t) = \frac{1}{t}(\mathbf{p}(0) + \mathbf{p}(1) + \dots + \mathbf{p}(t-1))$  converges to a stationary distribution. Does the  $t$  step distribution  $\mathbf{p}(t)$  also converges for every connected Markov Chain? Prove or disprove it.

*solution.*  $t$  step distribution  $\mathbf{p}(t)$  does not necessarily converge.

Counterexample: Consider the transition matrix  $P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\mathbf{p}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Then,  $\mathbf{p}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  for even  $t$ , and  $\mathbf{p}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  for odd  $t$ . Therefore  $\mathbf{p}(t)$  does not converge.

2. Consider a 2-dimensional lattice with  $n$  vertices in each coordinate. The transition probability of each neighbor is  $\frac{1}{4}$ . Each boundary point has a self-loop with transition probability of  $1 - \frac{(\text{number of neighbors})}{4}$ . Find the  $\varepsilon$ -mixing time for this graph in the following way:

\* "Edges leaving  $S$ " means the edges s.t. one vertex is in  $S$  and the other vertex is not in  $S$ .

(a) Show that the minimum number of edges leaving a set  $S$  is  $n$  when  $\frac{n^2}{2} \geq |S| \geq \frac{n^2}{4}$ .

(b) Show that the minimum number of edges leaving a set  $S$  is  $\lfloor 2\sqrt{|S|} \rfloor$  when  $|S| \leq \frac{n^2}{4}$ .

(c) Compute  $\Phi$ .

(d) Compute  $\varepsilon$ -mixing time.

*solution.*

(a) When  $\frac{n^2}{2} \geq |S| \geq \frac{n^2}{4}$ , the subset with fewest edges leaving it consists of some number of columns plus one additional partial column. Then, the number of edges leaving  $S$  is at least  $n$ .

(b) When  $|S| \leq \frac{n^2}{4}$ , the subset  $S$  of a given size that has the minimum number of edges leaving consists of a square located at the lower left hand corner of the grid. If  $|S|$  is not a perfect square, then the right most column of  $S$  is short. Thus, at least  $\lfloor 2\sqrt{|S|} \rfloor$  points in  $S$  are adjacent to points in  $\bar{S}$ .

(c) Note that the stationary distribution  $\pi_i = 1/n^2$  for all vertices  $i$ . When  $\frac{n^2}{2} \geq |S| \geq \frac{n^2}{4}$ ,

$$\sum_{i \in S} \sum_{j \in \bar{S}} \pi_i p_{ij} \geq \Omega(n \frac{1}{n}) = \Omega(\frac{1}{n}).$$

$$\Phi(S) \geq \Omega\left(\frac{1/n}{\min(\frac{S}{n^2}, \frac{\bar{S}}{n^2})}\right) = \Omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

When  $|S| \leq \frac{n^2}{4}$ ,

$$\sum_{i \in S} \sum_{j \in \bar{S}} \pi_i p_{ij} \geq \frac{\lfloor 2\sqrt{|S|} \rfloor}{n^2}.$$

$$\Phi(S) = \frac{\sum_{i \in S} \sum_{j \in \bar{S}} \pi_i p_{ij}}{\min(\pi(S), \pi(\bar{S}))} \geq \frac{\lfloor 2\sqrt{|S|} \rfloor / n^2}{|S|/n^2} = \Omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Therefore,  $\Phi = \Omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$ .

(d) By theorem 4.5., the  $\varepsilon$ -mixing time of a random walk is  $O\left(\frac{\ln(1/\pi_{min})}{\Phi^2 \varepsilon^3}\right)$ .

Substituting  $\Phi = \Omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$  and  $\pi_{min} = \frac{1}{n^2}$ , we have  $O(n^2 \ln n / \varepsilon^3)$ .

3. Let  $S$  be a set of examples, and  $\mathcal{H}$  be a hypothesis class. To prove that the VC-dimension of  $\mathcal{H}$  is some integer  $d$ , we must show: (1) there exists a subset of  $S$  of size  $d$  shattered by  $\mathcal{H}$ , and (2) there exist no subsets of  $S$  of size  $D \geq d$  that are shattered by  $\mathcal{H}$ .

(a) Prove that if there exists a subset of  $S$  of size  $d$  shattered by  $\mathcal{H}$ , then for any  $1 \leq k < d$  there also exists a subset of size  $k$  of  $X$  shattered by  $\mathcal{H}$ .

\* By proving (a), we can relax (2) to the following statement: there exist no subsets  $S$  of size  $d + 1$  that are shattered by  $\mathcal{H}$ .

(b) Let  $S = \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\mathcal{H}$  be the set of all classifier  $h$  that classify a point  $x$  as  $h(x) = 1$  if  $x \in \cup_{i=1}^p R_i$ , and  $h(x) = -1$  otherwise for some set of non-intersecting intervals  $R_1, \dots, R_p$  with fixed given  $p$ . Find the VC-dimension of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

*solution.*

(a) Suppose  $x_1, \dots, x_d \in S$  are shattered by  $\mathcal{H}$ . Consider the set of  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  where  $1 \leq k < d$ . Let  $l_1, \dots, l_k \in \{-1, 1\}$  be any labeling for  $x_1, \dots, x_k$ . Also, let  $l_{k+1} = \dots = l_d = 1$ . Since  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  are shattered by  $\mathcal{H}$ , there exists  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $h(x_i) = l_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Therefore, there exists  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $h(x_i) = l_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . It means,  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  are shattered by  $\mathcal{H}$ .

(b) Firstly, we show that  $2p$  points can be shattered by  $\mathcal{H}$ . Consider  $x_1 < \dots < x_{2p}$ . Letting  $R_i = (x_{2i-1}, x_{2i})$ ,  $R_i = [x_{2i-1}, x_{2i})$ ,  $R_i = (x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}]$ , and  $R_i = [x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}]$ , each pair of points  $x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}$  can be shattered with the  $i$ 'th interval for  $i = 1, \dots, p$ , without affecting the rest. Therefore,  $x_1, \dots, x_{2p}$  can be shattered.

Secondly, we show that  $2p + 1$  points can be shattered by  $\mathcal{H}$ . Consider  $x_1 < \dots < x_{2p+1}$ . Label the odd-indexed points 1, and the rest 0. Then, no classifier in  $\mathcal{H}$  can be consistent with this labeling.

Combining above results and (a), we have the VC-dimension of  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $2p$ .

4. For  $k = 1, 2$ , let  $r_k$  be some positive integer and  $\mathcal{F}_k : \{-1, 1\}^{r_k} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  be some function class with VC-dimension  $d_k$ . Define  $\mathcal{G}_k : \{-1, 1\}^{r_k} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}^{r_{k+1}}$  with  $r_3 = 1$  as:

$$\mathcal{G}_k = \{h(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_{r_{k+1}}(x)) \mid f_1, \dots, f_{r_{k+1}} \in \mathcal{F}_k\}.$$

Our hypothesis class  $\mathcal{H}$  is 2-layer feedforward network defined by:

$$\mathcal{H} = \{h_2 \circ h_1 : \{-1, 1\}^{r_1} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\} | h_1 \in \mathcal{G}_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{G}_2\}.$$

(a) Prove that the growth function of  $\mathcal{H}$  is bounded as:

$$\mathcal{H}[n] \leq \mathcal{F}_1[n]^{r_2} \cdot \mathcal{F}_2[n]$$

(b) Prove  $\mathcal{H}[n] \leq (en)^d$ , where  $d = r_2 d_1 + d_2$ .

Hint: Use Sauer's Lemma.

(c) Show that VC-dimension of  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $O(d \ln d)$ .

Hint: Use the result of (b). When  $n \geq 16$ , we have  $\log_2 n \leq \sqrt{n}$ .

*solution.* (a) Firstly, we prove useful lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$  be two function classes with the same domain  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2$  be their cartesian product. Then,*

$$\mathcal{H}[n] \leq \mathcal{H}_1[n] \mathcal{H}_2[n].$$

*Proof.* Fix  $x^n \in X^n$ . Then,

$$|\mathcal{H}(x^n)| = |\mathcal{H}_1(x^n)| |\mathcal{H}_2(x^n)| \leq \mathcal{H}_1[n] \mathcal{H}_2[n].$$

As  $x^n \in X^n$  was arbitrary, we have  $\mathcal{H}[n] \leq \mathcal{H}_1[n] \mathcal{H}_2[n]$ . Note that  $\mathcal{H}(x^n) := \{(h(x_1), \dots, h(x_n)) | x^n = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n, h \in \mathcal{H}\}$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$  be two function classes where the range of  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and the domain of  $\mathcal{H}_2$  coincide. Let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_2$  be their composition. Then,*

$$\mathcal{H}[n] \leq \mathcal{H}_1[n] \mathcal{H}_2[n].$$

*Proof.* Let the domain of  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$  be  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. Fix  $x^n \in X^n$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(x^n) &= \{(h_2(h_1(x_1)), \dots, h_2(h_1(x_n))) | h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2\} \\ &= \cup_{y^n \in \mathcal{H}_1(x^n)} \{(h_2(y_1), \dots, h_2(y_n)) | h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{H}(x^n)| &\leq \sum_{y^n \in \mathcal{H}_1(x^n)} |\{(h_2(y_1), \dots, h_2(y_n)) | h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2\}| \\ &\leq \sum_{y^n \in \mathcal{H}_1(x^n)} \mathcal{H}_2[n] \\ &= |\mathcal{H}_1(x^n)| \mathcal{H}_2[n] \\ &\leq \mathcal{H}_1[n] \mathcal{H}_2[n]. \end{aligned}$$

As  $x^n \in X^n$  was arbitrary, we have  $\mathcal{H}[n] \leq \mathcal{H}_1[n] \mathcal{H}_2[n]$ .

Because  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G}_2 \circ \mathcal{G}_1 = \mathcal{F}_2 \circ \mathcal{F}_1^{r_2}$ , by lemma 1 and 2, we have  $\mathcal{H}[n] \leq \mathcal{F}_1[n]^{r_2} \cdot \mathcal{F}_2$ .

(b) By Sauer's lemma,  $\mathcal{H}[n] \leq (en/d_1)^{r_2 d_1} \cdot (en/d_2)^{d_2} \leq (en)^{r_2 d_1 + d_2} = (en)^d$ .

(c) If the set of size  $n$  is shattered by  $\mathcal{H}$ , then  $\mathcal{H}[n] = 2^n$ . Note that the largest  $n$  with  $\mathcal{H}[n] = 2^n$  is the VC-dimension of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

For  $\mathcal{H}[n] = 2^n$ , it suffices to have  $n \leq d \log_2(en) \leq d\sqrt{en}$  (i.e.,  $n \leq d^2 e$ ), for sufficiently large  $n$ . By plugging in the original inequality,  $n \leq d \log_2(e^2 d^2)$ . Hence, the VC-dimension of  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $O(d \ln d)$ .